GCCSI

Ihr Dienstleister in:

Gürbüz Computer Consulting & Service International 1984-2007 l Önder Gürbüz l Aar Strasse 70 l 65232 Taunusstein
info@gccsi.com l +49 (6128) 757583 l +49 (6128) 757584 l +49 (171) 4213566

Introduction to IPv6

Philip Smith <pfs@cisco.com>

NANOG 42

17-20 February, San Jose

Presentation Slides

- Will be available on

  ftp://ftp-eng.cisco.com

  /pfs/seminars/NANOG42-IPv6-Introduction.pdf

  And on the NANOG42 website

- Feel free to ask questions any time

Agenda

- Background
- Protocols & Standards
- Addressing
- Routing Protocols
- Integration & Transition
- Servers & Services

Early Internet History

- Late 1980s
  - Exponential growth of the Internet
- Late 1990: CLNS proposed as IP replacement
- 1991-1992
  - Running out of “class-B” network numbers
  - Explosive growth of the “default-free” routing table
  - Eventual exhaustion of 32-bit address space
- Two efforts – short-term vs. long-term
  - More at “The Long and Windy ROAD”
  - **http://rms46.vlsm.org/1/42.html**

Early Internet History

- CIDR and Supernetting proposed in 1992-3
  - Deployment started in 1994
- IETF “ipng” solicitation – RFC1550, Dec 1993
- Direction and technical criteria for ipng choice – RFC1719 and RFC1726, Dec 1994
- Proliferation of proposals:
  - TUBA – RFC1347, June 1992
  - PIP – RFC1621, RFC1622, May 1994
  - CATNIP – RFC1707, October 1994
  - SIP – RFC1710, October 1994
  - NIMROD – RFC1753, December 1994
  - ENCAPS – RFC1955, June 1996

Early Internet History → 1996

- Other activities included:
  - Development of NAT, PPP, DHCP,…
  - Some IPv4 address reclamation
  - The RIR system was introduced
- → Brakes were put on IPv4 address consumption
- IPv4 32 bit address = 4 billion hosts
  - HD Ratio (RFC3194) realistically limits IPv4 to 250 million hosts

Recent Internet History
The “boom” years → 2001

- IPv6 Development in full swing
  - Rapid IPv4 consumption
  - IPv6 specifications sorted out
  - (Many) Transition mechanisms developed
- 6bone
  - Experimental IPv6 backbone sitting on top of Internet
  - Participants from over 100 countries
- Early adopters
  - Japan, Germany, France, UK,…

Recent Internet History
The “bust” years: 2001 → 2004

- The DotCom “crash”
  - i.e. Internet became mainstream
- IPv4:
  - Consumption slowed
  - Address space pressure “reduced”
- Indifference
  - Early adopters surging onwards
  - Sceptics more sceptical
  - Yet more transition mechanisms developed

2004 → Today

- Resurgence in demand for IPv4 address space
  - 19.5% address space still unallocated (01/2008)
  - Exhaustion predictions range from wild to conservative
  - …but late 2010 seems realistic at current rates
  - …but what about the market for address space?
- Market for IPv4 addresses:
  - Creates barrier to entry
  - Condemns the less affluent to use of NATs
- IPv6 offers vast address space
  - **The only compelling reason for IPv6**

Current Situation

- General perception is that “IPv6 has not yet taken hold”
  - IPv4 Address run-out is not “headline news” yet
    - More discussions and run-out plans proposed
  - Private sector requires a business case to “migrate”
    - No easy Return on Investment (RoI) computation
- But reality is very different from perception!
  - Something needs to be done to sustain the Internet growth
  - IPv6 or NAT or both or something else?

Do we really need a larger address space?

- Internet population
  - ~630 million users end of 2002 – 10% of world pop.
  - ~1320 million users end of 2007 – 20% of world pop.
  - Future? (World pop. ~9B in 2050)
- US uses 81 /8s – this is 3.9 IPv4 addresses per person
  - Repeat this the world over…
  - 6 billion population could require 23.4 billion IPv4 addresses
  - (6 times larger than the IPv4 address pool)
- Emerging Internet economies need address space:
  - China uses more than 94 million IPv4 addresses today (5.5 /8s)

Do we really need a larger address space?

- RFC 1918 is not sufficient for large environments
  - Cable Operators (e.g. Comcast – NANOG37 presentation)
  - Mobile providers (fixed/mobile convergence)
  - Large enterprises
- The Policy Development process of the RIRs turned down a request to increase private address space
  - RIR membership guideline is to use global addresses instead
  - This leads to an accelerated depletion of the global address space
- 240/4 being proposed as new private address space

IPv6 OS and Application Support

- All software vendors officially support IPv6 in their latest Operating System releases
  - Apple Mac OS X; HP (HP-UX, Tru64 & OpenVMS); IBM zSeries & AIX; Microsoft Windows XP, Vista, .NET, CE; Sun Solaris,…
  - *BSD, Linux,…
- Application Support
  - Applications must be IPv4 and IPv6 agnostic
  - User should not have to “pick a protocol”
  - Successful deployment is driven by Applications
- Latest info:
  - www.ipv6-to-standard.org

ISP Deployment Activities

- Several Market segments
  - IX, Carriers, Regional ISP, Wireless
- ISP have to get an IPv6 prefix from their Regional Registry
  - www.ripe.net/ripencc/mem-services/registration/ipv6/ipv6allocs.html
- Large carriers planning driven by customer demand:
  - Some running trial networks (e.g. Sprint)
  - Others running commercial services (e.g. NTT, FT,…)
- Regional ISP focus on their specific markets
- Much discussion by operators about transition
  - www.civil-tongue.net/clusterf/
  - http://www.nanog.org/mtg-0710/presentations/Bush-v6-op-reality.pdf

Why not use Network Address Translation?

- Private address space and Network address translation (NAT) could be used instead of IPv6
- But NAT has many serious issues:
  - Breaks the end-to-end model of IP
  - Layered NAT devices
  - Mandates that the network keeps the state of the connections
  - How to scale NAT performance for large networks?
  - Makes fast rerouting difficult
  - Service provision inhibited

NAT has many implications

- Inhibits end-to-end network security
- When a new application is not NAT-friendly, NAT device requires an upgrade
- Some applications cannot work through NATs
- Application-level gateways (ALG) are not as fast as IP routing
- Complicates mergers
  - Double NATing is needed for devices to communicate with each other
- Breaks security
- Makes multihoming hard
- Simply does not scale
- RFC2993 – architectural implications of NAT

Conclusion

- There is a need for a larger address space
  - IPv6 offers this – will eventually replace NAT
  - But NAT will be around for a while too
  - Market for IPv4 addresses looming also
- Many challenges ahead

Agenda

- Background
- Protocols & Standards
- Addressing
- Routing Protocols
- Integration & Transition

So what has really changed?

- Expanded address space
  - Address length quadrupled to 16 bytes
- Header Format Simplification
  - Fixed length, optional headers are daisy-chained
  - IPv6 header is twice as long (40 bytes) as IPv4 header without options (20 bytes)
- No checksum at the IP network layer
- No hop-by-hop segmentation
  - Path MTU discovery
- 64 bits aligned
- Authentication and Privacy Capabilities
  - IPsec is mandated
- No more broadcast

IPv4 and IPv6 Header Comparison



Larger Address Space



IPv4

32 bits

= 4,294,967,296 possible addressable devices

IPv6

128 bits: 4 times the size in bits

= 3.4 x 10^{38} possible addressable devices

= 340,282,366,920,938,463,463,374,607,431,768,211,456

~ 5 x 10^{28} addresses per person on the planet

How was the IPv6 Address Size Chosen?

- Some wanted fixed-length, 64-bit addresses
  - Easily good for 1012 sites, 1015 nodes, at .0001 allocation efficiency (3 orders of magnitude more than IPv6 requirement)
  - Minimizes growth of per-packet header overhead
  - Efficient for software processing
- Some wanted variable-length, up to 160 bits
  - Compatible with OSI NSAP addressing plans
  - Big enough for auto-configuration using IEEE 802 addresses
  - Could start with addresses shorter than 64 bits & grow later
- Settled on fixed-length, 128-bit addresses

IPv6 Address Representation

- 16 bit fields in case insensitive colon hexadecimal representation

  2031:0000:130F:0000:0000:09C0:876A:130B

- Leading zeros in a field are optional:

  2031:0:130F:0:0:9C0:876A:130B

- Successive fields of 0 represented as ::, but only once in an address:

  2031:0:130F::9C0:876A:130B is ok

  2031::130F::9C0:876A:130B is NOT ok

  0:0:0:0:0:0:0:1 → ::1 (loopback address)

  0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0 → :: (unspecified address)

IPv6 Address Representation

- IPv4-compatible (not used any more)

  0:0:0:0:0:0:192.168.30.1

  = ::192.168.30.1

  = ::C0A8:1E01

- In a URL, it is enclosed in brackets (RFC3986)

  http://[2001:db8:4f3a::206:ae14]:8080/index.html

  Cumbersome for users

  Mostly for diagnostic purposes

  Use fully qualified domain names (FQDN)

- ⇒ The DNS has to work!!

IPv6 Address Representation

- Prefix Representation
  - Representation of prefix is same as for IPv4 CIDR
    - Address and then prefix length
  - IPv4 address:
    - 198.10.0.0/16
  - IPv6 address:
    - 2001:db8:12::/40

IPv6 Addressing

- IPv6 Addressing rules are covered by multiples RFCs
  - Architecture defined by RFC 4291
- Address Types are :
  - Unicast : One to One (Global, Unique Local, Link local)
  - Anycast : One to Nearest (Allocated from Unicast)
  - Multicast : One to Many
- A single interface may be assigned multiple IPv6 addresses of any type (unicast, anycast, multicast)
  - No Broadcast Address → Use Multicast

IPv6 Addressing

Type	Binary	Hex
Unspecified	000…0	::/128
Loopback	000…1	::1/128
Global Unicast Address	0010	2000::/3
Link Local Unicast Address	1111 1110 10	FE80::/10
Unique Local Unicast Address	1111 1100 1111 1101	FC00::/7
Multicast Address	1111 1111	FF00::/8

IPv6 Global Unicast Addresses



- IPv6 Global Unicast addresses are:
  - Addresses for generic use of IPv6
  - Hierarchical structure intended to simplify aggregation

IPv6 Address Allocation



- The allocation process is:
  - The IANA is allocating out of 2000::/3 for initial IPv6 unicast use
  - Each registry gets a /12 prefix from the IANA
  - Registry allocates a /32 prefix (or larger) to an IPv6 ISP
  - Policy is that an ISP allocates a /48 prefix to each end customer

IPv6 Addressing Scope

- 64 bits reserved for the interface ID
  - Possibility of 2^{64} hosts on one network LAN
  - Arrangement to accommodate MAC addresses within the IPv6 address
- 16 bits reserved for the end site
  - Possibility of 2^{16} networks at each end-site
  - 65536 subnets equivalent to a /12 in IPv4 (assuming 16 hosts per IPv4 subnet)

IPv6 Addressing Scope

- 16 bits reserved for the service provider
  - Possibility of 2^{16} end-sites per service provider
  - 65536 possible customers: equivalent to each service provider receiving a /8 in IPv4 (assuming a /24 address block per customer)
- 32 bits reserved for service providers
  - Possibility of 2^{32} service providers
  - i.e. 4 billion discrete service provider networks
    - Although some service providers already are justifying more than a /32
  - Equivalent to the size of the entire IPv4 address space

Aggregation hopes



- Larger address space enables aggregation of prefixes announced in the global routing table
- Idea was to allow efficient and scalable routing
- **But current Internet multihoming solution breaks this model**

Interface IDs

- Lowest order 64-bit field of unicast address may be assigned in several different ways:
  - Auto-configured from a 64-bit EUI-64, or expanded from a 48-bit MAC address (e.g., Ethernet address)
  - Auto-generated pseudo-random number (to address privacy concerns)
  - Assigned via DHCP
  - Manually configured

EUI-64

Ethernet MAC address (48 bits)



64 bits version

Uniqueness of the MAC



Eui-64 address

- EUI-64 address is formed by inserting FFFE and OR'ing a bit identifying the uniqueness of the MAC address

IPv6 Address Privacy (RFC 3041)



- Temporary addresses for IPv6 host client application, e.g. Web browser
- Intended to inhibit device/user tracking but is also a potential issue
  - More difficult to scan all IP addresses on a subnet
  - But port scan is identical when an address is known
- Random 64 bit interface ID, run DAD before using it
- Rate of change based on local policy
- **Implemented on Microsoft Windows XP only**

IPv6 Auto-Configuration

- Stateless (RFC2462)
  - Host autonomously configures its own Link-Local address
  - Router solicitation are sent by booting nodes to request RAs for configuring the interfaces.
- Stateful
  - DHCPv6 – required by most enterprises
- Renumbering
  - Hosts renumbering is done by modifying the RA to announce the old prefix with a short lifetime and the new prefix
  - Router renumbering protocol (RFC 2894), to allow domain-interior routers to learn of prefix introduction / withdrawal



At boot time, an IPv6 host build a Link-Local address, then its global IPv6 address(es) from RA

Auto-configuration



- Client sends router solicitation (RS) messages
- Router responds with router advertisement (RA)
  - This includes prefix and default route
- Client configures its IPv6 address by concatenating prefix received with its EUI-64 address

Renumbering



- Router sends router advertisement (RA)
  - This includes the new prefix and default route (and remaining lifetime of the old address)
- Client configures a new IPv6 address by concatenating prefix received with its EUI-64 address
  - Attaches lifetime to old address

Unique-Local



- Unique-Local Addresses Used For:
  - Local communications
  - Inter-site VPNs
- **Not** routable on the Internet
- Reinvention of the deprecated site-local? It's future is unclear.

Link-Local



- Link-Local Addresses Used For:
  - Communication between two IPv6 device (like ARP but at Layer 3)
  - Next-Hop calculation in Routing Protocols
- Automatically assigned by Router as soon as IPv6 is enabled
  - Mandatory Address
- Only Link Specific scope
- Remaining 54 bits could be Zero or any manual configured value

Multicast use

- Broadcasts in IPv4
  - Interrupts all devices on the LAN even if the intent of the request was for a subset
  - Can completely swamp the network (“broadcast storm”)
- Broadcasts in IPv6
  - Are not used and replaced by multicast
- Multicast
  - Enables the efficient use of the network
  - Multicast address range is much larger

IPv6 Multicast Address

- IP multicast address has a prefix FF00::/8
- The second octet defines the lifetime and scope of the multicast address.

8-bit	4-bit	4-bit	112-bit
1111 1111	Lifetime	Scope	Group-ID

Lifetime	
0	If Permanent
1	If Temporary

Scope	
1	Node
2	Link
5	Site
8	Organization
E	Global

IPv6 Multicast Address Examples

- RIPng
  - The multicast address AllRIPRouters is FF02::9
    - Note that 02 means that this is a permanent address and has link scope
- OSPFv3
  - The multicast address AllSPFRouters is FF02::5
  - The multicast address AllDRouters is FF02::6
- EIGRP
  - The multicast address AllEIGRPRouters is FF02::A

IPv6 Anycast

- An IPv6 anycast address is an identifier for a set of interfaces (typically belonging to different nodes)

  A packet sent to an anycast address is delivered to one of the interfaces identified by that address (the “nearest” one, according to the routing protocol’s measure of distance).

  RFC4291 describes IPv6 Anycast in more detail

- In reality there is no known implementation of IPv6 Anycast as per the RFC

  Most operators have chosen to use IPv4 style anycast instead

Anycast on the Internet

- A global unicast address is assigned to all nodes which need to respond to a service being offered
  - This address is routed as part of its parent address block
- The responding node is the one which is closest to the requesting node according to the routing protocol
  - Each anycast node looks identical to the other
- Applicable within an ASN, or globally across the Internet
- Typical (IPv4) examples today include:
  - Root DNS and ccTLD/gTLD nameservers
  - SMTP relays within ISP autonomous systems

MTU Issues

- Minimum link MTU for IPv6 is 1280 octets (versus 68 octets for IPv4)

  ⇒ on links with MTU < 1280, link-specific fragmentation and reassembly must be used

- Implementations are expected to perform path MTU discovery to send packets bigger than 1280
- Minimal implementation can omit PMTU discovery as long as all packets kept ≥ 1280 octets
- A Hop-by-Hop Option supports transmission of "jumbograms" with up to 2^{32} octets of payload

Neighbour Discovery (RFCs 2461 & 4311)

- Protocol built on top of ICMPv6 (RFC 4443)
  - combination of IPv4 protocols (ARP, ICMP, IGMP,…)
- Fully dynamic, interactive between Hosts & Routers
  - defines 5 ICMPv6 packet types:
    - Router Solicitation / Router Advertisements
    - Neighbour Solicitation / Neighbour Advertisements
    - Redirect

IPv6 and DNS



IPv6 Technology Scope

IP Service	*IPv4 Solution*	*IPv6 Solution*
Addressing Range	32-bit, Network Address Translation	128-bit, Multiple Scopes
Autoconfiguration	DHCP	Serverless, Reconfiguration, DHCP
Security	IPSec	IPSec Mandated, works End-to-End
Mobility	Mobile IP	Mobile IP with Direct Routing
Quality-of-Service	Differentiated Service, Integrated Service	Differentiated Service, Integrated Service
IP Multicast	IGMP/PIM/Multicast BGP	MLD/PIM/Multicast BGP,Scope Identifier

What does IPv6 do for:

- Security
  - Nothing IPv4 doesn't do – IPSec runs in both
  - But IPv6 architecture mandates IPSec
- QoS
  - Nothing IPv4 doesn't do –
    - Differentiated and Integrated Services run in both
    - So far, Flow label has no real use

IPv6 Status – Standardisation

- Several key components on standards track…
  - Specification (RFC2460)
  - ICMPv6 (RFC4443)
  - RIP (RFC2080)
  - IGMPv6 (RFC2710)
  - Router Alert (RFC2711)
  - Autoconfiguration (RFC4862)
  - DHCPv6 (RFC3315 & 4361)
  - IPv6 Mobility (RFC3775)
  - GRE Tunnelling (RFC2473)
  - DAD for IPv6 (RFC4429)
  - Neighbour Discovery (RFC4861 & 4311)
  - IPv6 Addresses (RFC4291 & 3587)
  - BGP (RFC2545)
  - OSPF (RFC2740)
  - Jumbograms (RFC2675)
  - Radius (RFC3162)
  - Flow Label (RFC3697)
  - Mobile IPv6 MIB (RFC4295)
  - Unique Local IPv6 Addresses (RFC4193)
  - Teredo (RFC4380)
- IPv6 available over:
  - PPP (RFC5072)
  - FDDI (RFC2467)
  - NBMA (RFC2491)
  - Frame Relay (RFC2590)
  - IEEE1394 (RFC3146)
  - Ethernet (RFC2464)
  - Token Ring (RFC2470)
  - ATM (RFC2492)
  - ARCnet (RFC2497)
  - FibreChannel (RFC4338)

Agenda

- Background
- Protocols & Standards
- Addressing
- Routing Protocols
- Integration & Transition

Getting IPv6 address space

- Become a member of your Regional Internet Registry and get your own allocation
  - Require a plan for a year ahead
  - General allocation policies and specific details for IPv6 are on the individual RIR website
  - **or**
- Take part of upstream ISP's PA space
  - **or**
- Use 6to4
- There is plenty of IPv6 address space
  - The RIRs require high quality documentation

Getting IPv6 address space

- From the RIR
  - Receive a /32 (or larger if you have more than 65k /48 assignments)
- From your upstream ISP
  - Get one /48 from your upstream ISP
  - More than one /48 if you have more than 65k subnets
- Use 6to4
  - Take a single public IPv4 /32 address
  - 2002:<ipv4 /32 address>::/48 becomes your IPv6 address block, giving 65k subnets
  - Requires a 6to4 gateway

Addressing Plans – ISP Infrastructure

- ISPs should receive /32 from their RIR
- Address block for router loop-back interfaces
  - Generally number all loopbacks out of **one** /64
- Address block for infrastructure
  - /48 allows 65k subnets
  - /48 per PoP or region (for large networks)
  - /48 for whole backbone (for small to medium networks)
  - Summarise between sites if it makes sense

Addressing Plans – ISP Infrastructure

- What about LANs?
  - /64 per LAN
- What about Point-to-Point links?
  - Expectation is that /64 is used
  - People have used /126s
    - Mobile IPv6 Home Agent discovery won't work
  - People have used /112s
    - Leaves final 16 bits free for node IDs
  - See RFC3627 for more discussion

Addressing Plans – Customer

- Customers get **one** /48

  Unless they have more than 65k subnets in which case they get a second /48 (and so on)

  (Still on going RIR policy discussion about giving “small” customers a /56 and single LAN end-sites a /64)

- Should not be reserved or assigned on a per PoP basis

  ISP iBGP carries customer nets

  Aggregation within the iBGP not required and usually not desirable

  Aggregation in eBGP is very necessary

Addressing Plans – ISP Infrastructure

- Phase One



- Phase Two – second /32



Addressing Plans Planning

- Registries will usually allocate the next block to be contiguous with the first allocation
  - Minimum allocation is /32
  - Very likely that subsequent allocation will make this up to a /31
  - So plan accordingly

Agenda

- Background
- Protocols & Standards
- Addressing
- Routing Protocols
- Integration & Transition

Static Routing in IPv6

- Unchanged from IPv4

  Default route is now ::/0

  On most platforms, the CLI is very similar

- Cisco IOS Static Routing Example:

```
ipv6 route 2001:db8::/64 2001:db8:0:CC::1 110
```

  Routes packets for network 2001:db8::/64 to a networking device at 2001:db8:0:CC::1 with an administrative distance of 110

Dynamic Routing Protocols in IPv6

- Dynamic Routing in IPv6 is unchanged from IPv4:
  - IPv6 has 2 types of routing protocols: IGP and EGP
  - IPv6 still uses the longest-prefix match routing algorithm
- IGP
  - RIPng (RFC 2080)
  - Cisco EIGRP for IPv6
  - OSPFv3 (RFC 2740)
  - Integrated IS-ISv6 (draft-ietf-isis-ipv6-06)
- EGP
  - MP-BGP4 (RFC 4760 and RFC 2545)

Configuring Routing Protocols

- Dynamic routing protocols require router-id

  Router-id is a 32 bit integer

  Cisco IOS auto-generates these from loopback interface address if configured, else highest IPv4 address on the router

  Most ISPs will deploy IPv6 dual stack – so router-id will be automatically created

- Early adopters choosing to deploy IPv6 in the total absence of any IPv4 addressing need to be aware:

  Router-id needs to be manually configured:

```
ipv6 router ospf 100
 router-id 10.1.1.4
```

RIPng

- For the ISP industry, simply don’t go here
- ISPs do not use RIP in any form unless there is absolutely no alternative
  - And there usually is
- RIPng was used in the early days of the IPv6 test network
  - Sensible routing protocols such as OSPF and BGP rapidly replaced RIPng when they became available

OSPFv3 overview

- OSPFv3 is OSPF for IPv6 (RFC 2740)
- Based on OSPFv2, with enhancements
- Distributes IPv6 prefixes
- Runs directly over IPv6
- Completely independent of OSPFv2

Differences from OSPFv2

- Runs over a link, not a subnet
  - Multiple instances per link
- Topology not IPv6 specific
  - Router ID
  - Link ID
- Standard authentication mechanisms
- Uses link local addresses
- Generalized flooding scope
- Two new LSA types

IS-IS Standards History

- ISO 10589 specifies OSI IS-IS routing protocol for CLNS traffic
  - Tag/Length/Value (TLV) options to enhance the protocol
- RFC 1195 added IP support, also known as Integrated IS-IS (I/IS-IS)
  - I/IS-IS runs on top of the Data Link Layer
  - Requires CLNP to be configured
- IPv6 address family support added to IS-IS
  - www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-isis-ipv6-06.txt
  - IPv4 and IPv6 topologies have to be identical
- Multi-Topology concept for IS-IS added:
  - www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-isis-wg-multi-topology-11.txt
  - Permits IPv4 and IPv6 topologies which are not identical

IS-IS for IPv6

- 2 TLVs added to introduce IPv6 routing
  - IPv6 Reachability TLV (0xEC)
  - IPv6 Interface Address TLV (0xE8)
- 4 TLVs added to support multi-topology ISIS
  - Multi Topology
  - Multi Topology Intermediate Systems
  - Multi Topology Reachable IPv4 Prefixes
  - Multi Topology Reachable IPv6 Prefixes
- Multi Topology IDs
  - #0 – standard topology for IPv4/CLNS
  - #2 – topology for IPv6

Multi-Protocol BGP for IPv6 – RFC2545

- IPv6 specific extensions

  Scoped addresses: Next-hop contains a global IPv6 address and/or potentially a link-local address

  NEXT_HOP and NLRI are expressed as IPv6 addresses and prefix

  Address Family Information (AFI) = 2 (IPv6)

  - Sub-AFI = 1 (NLRI is used for unicast)
  - Sub-AFI = 2 (NLRI is used for multicast RPF check)
  - Sub-AFI = 3 (NLRI is used for both unicast and multicast RPF check)
  - Sub-AFI = 4 (label)

Agenda

- Background
- Protocols & Standards
- Addressing
- Routing Protocols
- Integration & Transition

IPv4-IPv6 Co-existence/Transition

- A wide range of techniques have been identified and implemented, basically falling into three categories:
  - Dual-stack techniques, to allow IPv4 and IPv6 to co-exist in the same devices and networks
  - Tunneling techniques, to avoid dependencies when upgrading hosts, routers, or regions
  - Translation techniques, to allow IPv6-only devices to communicate with IPv4-only devices
- Expect all of these to be used, in combination

Dual Stack Approach



- Dual stack node means:
  - Both IPv4 and IPv6 stacks enabled
  - Applications can talk to both
  - Choice of the IP version is based on name lookup and application preference

Dual Stack & DNS



- On a system running dual stack, an application that is both IPv4 and IPv6 enabled will:
  - Ask the DNS for an IPv6 address (AAAA record)
  - If that exists, IPv6 transport will be used
  - If it does not exist, it will then ask the DNS for an IPv4 address (A record) and use IPv4 transport instead

Sample Dual Stack Configuration



Dual-Stack Router

IPv4: 192.168.30.1

IPv6: 2001:db8:213:1::1/64

```
router#
ipv6 unicast-routing

interface Ethernet0
 ip address 192.168.30.1 255.255.255.0
 ipv6 address 2001:db8:213:1::1/64
```

- IPv6-enabled router

  If IPv4 and IPv6 are configured on one interface, the router is dual-stacked

  Telnet, Ping, Traceroute, SSH, DNS client, TFTP etc will all use IPv6 if transport and destination are available

Using Tunnels for IPv6 Deployment

- Many techniques are available to establish a tunnel:
  - Manually configured
    - Manual Tunnel (RFC 4213)
    - GRE (RFC 2473)
  - Semi-automated
    - Tunnel broker
  - Automatic
    - 6to4 (RFC 3056)
    - ISATAP (RFC 4214)
    - TEREDO (RFC 4380)

ISATAP & TEREDO are more useful for Enterprises than for Service Providers

Manually Configured Tunnel (RFC4213)




```
router1#

interface Tunnel0
 ipv6 address 2001:db8:c18:1::3/64
 tunnel source 192.168.10.1
 tunnel destination 192.168.30.1
 tunnel mode ipv6ip
```

```
router2#

interface Tunnel0
 ipv6 address 2001:db8:c18:1::2/64
 tunnel source 192.168.30.1
 tunnel destination 192.168.10.1
 tunnel mode ipv6ip
```

- Manually Configured tunnels require:
  - Dual stack end points
  - Both IPv4 and IPv6 addresses configured at each end

6to4 Tunnel (RFC 3056)



- 6to4 Tunnel:
  - Is an automatic tunnel method
  - Gives a prefix to the attached IPv6 network
  - 2002::/16 assigned to 6to4
  - Requires one global IPv4 address on each Ingress/Egress site

```
router2#

interface Loopback0
 ip address 192.168.30.1 255.255.255.0
 ipv6 address 2002:c0a8:1e01::1/128

interface Tunnel0
 no ip address
 ipv6 unnumbered Ethernet0
 tunnel source Loopback0
 tunnel mode ipv6ip 6to4

ipv6 route 2002::/16 Tunnel0
```

6to4 Relay




```
router1#

interface Loopback0
 ip address 192.168.10.1 255.255.255.0
 ipv6 address 2002:c0a8:a01::1/128

interface Tunnel0
 no ip address
 ipv6 unnumbered Ethernet0
 tunnel source Loopback0
 tunnel mode ipv6ip 6to4

ipv6 route 2002::/16 Tunnel0
ipv6 route ::/0 2002:c058:6301::1
```

- 6to4 relay:
  - Is a gateway to the rest of the IPv6 Internet
  - Carries 2002:c058:6301::1 IPv6 address
  - Carries 192.88.99.1 IPv4 address
  - Anycast address (RFC 3068) for multiple 6to4 Relay

6to4 in the Internet

- 6to4 prefix is 2002::/16
- 192.88.99.0/24 is the IPv4 anycast network for 6to4 routers
- 6to4 relay service

  An ISP who provides a facility to provide connectivity over the IPv4 Internet between IPv6 islands

  Is connected to the IPv6 Internet and announces 2002::/16 by BGP to the IPv6 Internet

  Is connected to the IPv4 Internet and announces 192.88.99.0/24 by BGP to the IPv4 Internet

  Their router is configured with local address of 192.88.99.1

Tunnel Broker



- Tunnel broker:

  Tunnel information is sent via http-ipv4

NAT-PT for IPv6

- NAT-PT

  (Network Address Translation – Protocol Translation)

  RFC 2766 & RFC 3596

- Allows native IPv6 hosts and applications to communicate with native IPv4 hosts and applications, and vice versa
- Easy-to-use transition and co-existence solution

NAT-PT Concept



- *prefix* is a 96-bit field that allows routing back to the NAT-PT device

NAT-PT packet flow



DNS Application Layer Gateway



NAT-PT Summary

- Points of note:
  - ALG per application carrying IP address
  - No End to End security
  - No DNSsec
  - No IPsec because different address realms
- Conclusion
  - Easy IPv6 / IPv4 co-existence mechanism
  - Enable applications to cross the protocol barrier

Agenda

- Background
- Protocols & Standards
- Addressing
- Routing Protocols
- Integration & Transition
- Servers & Services

Unix Webserver

- Apache 2.x supports IPv6 by default
- Simply edit the httpd.conf file

  HTTPD listens on all IPv4 interfaces on port 80 by default

  For IPv6 add:

  Listen [2001:db8:10::1]:80

  So that the webserver will listen to requests coming on the interface configured with 2001:db8:10::1/64

Unix Nameserver

- BIND 9 supports IPv6 by default
- To enable IPv6 nameservice, edit /etc/named.conf:

```
options {
        listen-on-v6 { any; };
};
zone “abc.net"  {
        type master;
        file “abc.net.zone";
};
zone “8.b.d.0.1.0.0.2.ip6.arpa" {
        type master;
        file “abc.net.rev-zone";
};
```



Unix Sendmail

- Sendmail 8 as part of a distribution is usually built with IPv6 enabled
  - But the configuration file needs to be modified
- If compiling from scratch, make sure NETINET6 is defined
- Then edit /etc/mail/sendmail.mc thus:
  - Remove the line which is for IPv4 only and enable the IPv6 line thus (to support both IPv4 and IPv6):
  - DAEMON_OPTIONS(`Port=smtp, Addr::, Name=MTA-v6, Family=inet6')
  - Remake sendmail.cf, then restart sendmail

Unix Applications

- OpenSSH

  Uses IPv6 transport before IPv4 transport if IPv6 address available

- Mozilla/Firefox/Thunderbird

  Supports IPv6, but still hampered by broken IPv6 nameservers and IPv6 connectivity

  In about:config the value network.dns.disableIPv6 is set to true by default

    Change to false to enable IPv6

MacOS X

- IPv6 installed
- IPv6 enabled by default
- Applications will use IPv6 transport if IPv6 address offered in name lookups

RedHat/Fedora Linux

- IPv6 installed, but disabled by default
- To enable:

  simply edit /etc/sysconfig/network to include the line

  NETWORKING_IPV6=yes

  And then reboot (or /sbin/service network restart)
- System will then use IPv6 transport if IPv6 addresses are offered in name lookups
- Other Linux distributions will use similar techniques

  Best see Peter Bieringer's LINUX HOWTO

  http://www.bieringer.de/linux/IPv6/

Windows XP & Vista

- XP
  - IPv6 installed, but disabled by default
  - To enable, start command prompt and run “ipv6 install”
- Vista
  - IPv6 installed, enabled by default
- Most apps (including IE) will use IPv6 transport if IPv6 address offered in name lookups

Introduction to IPv6

Philip Smith <pfs@cisco.com>

NANOG 42

17-20 February, San Jose